

**(DATE OF LAST INSPECTION. 23.4.08 & 24.4.08)**

**HARYANA URBAN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY  
PANCHKULA**

**(REPORT OF SUBSEQUENT INSPECTION )**

**SECTOR = 2**

**URBAN ESTATE: Rohtak**

1.	Name & Designation of the Inspecting Officer	Sanjay Rai, H.C.S. Estate Officer, HUDA .Rohtak.	
2.	Date of Inspection	7.10.2008	
3.	Date of last inspection (s)	23.4.2008 & 24.4.2008	
4.	Are there any sites in respect of which possession has not been offered? It so give details.	Nil	
5.	Is there any change in plot details since last inspection? If yes, give details	No change	
6.	No. of plots/sites in respect of which litigation is going on. Full details may be given by means of Annexures.	2 Nos. Annexure-A Attached	
7.	Whether registered RWA exists. If yes, names of president and General Secretary.	Registered RWA exists with registration no 130 Sh. Lal Chand, president, Sh. Surender Singh Kadyan, General Secretary .	
8.	Whether advance notice given and RWA representative present at the time of inspection.	Yes	
9.	Action taken to improve deficiencies noted during last inspection.		
	Sr. Infrastructure No. activities	Deficiencies Noted	Steps taken to improve
	1. Roads.	--	--
	2. Water supply	--	--
	3. Sewerage	--	--
	4. Storm water	--	--
	5. Parks	--	--
	6. Street Lights	--	Lighting system improve & Sh. Kalam Singh JE (E) checks regularly in morning & evening
	7. Sineages	--	--
	8. Cleanliness	--	Regular checking of cleanliness is done by JE
	9. Removal of encroachment	--	--
	10. Unplanned area	--	--
	11. Entries of the sector	--	--

	12. Maintenance of Community Building	--	--
	13. Reduction in litigation	--	--
10.	Whether Assessment Form filled up by RWA is enclosed or Not?	Enclosed	
11.	Total time taken for inspection of the Sector.	One day	
12.	Names of the officials who accompanied the E.O. during inspection.		
	(a) JE (Civil)	Sh. Arun Dangi	
	(b) JE (Electrical)	Sh. Kalam Singh	
	(c) JE (Horti.)	Sh. Mahender Singh	
	(d) JE (Estate Office)	Sh. Rampal Yadav	
13.	<b><u>Overall Assessment:</u></b>  Whether physical condition and maintenance of Sector helps in improving image of HUDA?	Yes	

Signature of the Inspecting officer.

**ASSESSMENT FORM TO BE FILLED UP BY RESIDENT WELFARE ASSOCIATION.**

**DATE OF INSPECTION** 7.10.2008

:

1.	Name of RWA.	RWA, HUDA, Sector-2 Rohtak
2.	Registration number, Act under which registered & date of registration.	Regd. No. 130 Regd. Under Societies Registration Act xxi of 1860 Date of registration 29.09.2008
3.	Name & Address, Mobile No., e-mail address of the President and General Secretary.	Sh. Lal Chand, president, H.N. 1189 Sector 2(P) Sh. Surender Singh Kadyan, General Secretary H.N. 509 Sector 2(P)
4.	Name(s) of the representative present during last inspection.	Sh. Balbir Singh Kharab President RWA Sector-2
5.	Assessment out of 10 marks in respect of:	
(a)	JE (Civil) for water supply, sewerage & storm water.	9
(b)	JE (Electrical) for Street light.	9
(c)	JE (Horti.) for Parks	10
(d)	JE (Estate Office) for cleanliness, maintenance of open spaces, sineages, prevention & removal of encroachments.	10
(e)	Steps taken to improve deficiencies noted during the last inspection	There is remarkable improvement.

Signature of President/Gen.Secy./  
Authorised Representative of RWA.

**TO BE FILLED UP BY THE INSPECTING OFFICER:**

Whether the Inspecting Officer agrees with the above Assessment done by RWA? If not, then what is his Assessment and reasons therefore.	Yes
---	-----

Name & Signature of the  
Inspecting Officer.

## Annexure-A

### List of case pending

S r. N o .	Title of case	Plot/ Boo th	Court in which case is pending	Brief History of the Case	Stage of the case	Next date	Advocat e Name
1	Bhoo p Sing h Edu. Socie ty Vs. HUD A	Scho ol Site/ 2	D CF	The plaintiff took participate in auction proceedings for the site for nursery school and being a highest bidder. The Dept . on receiving a complaint of forming the pool in auction proceedings and decided to return the amount deposited by the plaintiff and fixed a fresh auction for the site. The plaintiff challenged the act of the HUDA vide which the auction proceedings were cancelled and for staying the further auctioning of the said site.	Plaintiff/ Evidenc e	6.11.20 08	Raj esh Sh arm a 989 601 501 7
2	HUD A Vs. Kaml a Ahla wat	Plot No. 1135 /2	St ate Co m mi ssi on	Stay granted by State Commission	Appeal	13.05.2 009	Rama n Gaur 09417 37689 8